

MR2231126 (2007d:03076) 03E05 (03E45 03E47 03E65)

Caicedo, Andrés Eduardo (1-CAIT); Veličković, Boban (F-PARIS7-ML)

The bounded proper forcing axiom and well orderings of the reals. (English summary)

Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 2-3, 393–408.

In this paper, the bounded proper forcing axiom BPFA is investigated. BPFA is one of the forcing axioms stronger than MA_{\aleph_1} , and was introduced by M. R. Goldstern and S. Shelah [J. Symbolic Logic **60** (1995), no. 1, 58–73; [MR1324501 \(96g:03083\)](#)]. In [J. Math. Log. **5** (2005), no. 1, 87–97; [MR2151584 \(2006c:03076\)](#)], J. T. Moore proved that BPFA decides the value of the continuum. This was a key question of set theory, whether forcing axioms stronger than MA_{\aleph_1} decide the value of the continuum. In that paper, he introduced the mapping reflection principle MRP which is deduced from the proper forcing axiom PFA, and showed it by introducing a statement ν_{AC} , which implies that there is a well ordering of the reals of length ω_2 which is Δ_2 -definable in the structure (H_{\aleph_2}, \in) with parameter a subset of ω_1 . We have to note that although BPFA does not imply MRP, using the idea of MRP, he proved that BPFA implies ν_{AC} .

The following theorems are proved in this paper. (1) If M is an inner model, BPFA holds in both M and the universe, and M calculates ω_2 correctly, then $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \subseteq M$. (2) BPFA implies that there is a Δ_1 well ordering of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ with parameter a subset of ω_1 , whose length is ω_2 . (1) is a strengthening of the result of the second author proved in [Adv. Math. **94** (1992), no. 2, 256–284; [MR1174395 \(93k:03045\)](#)]. To show (1), ideas in [J. T. Moore, op. cit.] were used and a robust coding of reals by triples of ordinals smaller than ω_2 . (2) is a strengthening of the result in [J. T. Moore, op. cit.].

In this paper, there are also applications involving a minimal model of BPFA and the theorem that under PFA, the set of validities of the logic with the Härtig quantifier is not ordinal definable in $L(\mathbb{R})$.

Reviewed by [Teruyuki Yorioka](#)

References

1. J. Bagaria, *Bounded forcing axioms as principles of generic absoluteness*, Arch. Math. Logic **39** (2000), no. 6, 393–401. [MR1773776 \(2001i:03103\)](#)
2. J. Baumgartner, *Iterated forcing*, Iterated forcing. Surveys in set theory, 1–59, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 87, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983. [MR0823775 \(87c:03099\)](#)
3. J. Baumgartner, *Applications of the proper forcing axiom*, Handbook of set-theoretic topology, North-Holland (1984) 913–959. [MR0776640 \(86g:03084\)](#)
4. M. Bekkali, Topics in set theory, Springer (1991). [MR1119303 \(92m:03070\)](#)
5. A. Caicedo, *Projective well-orderings and bounded forcing axioms*, J. Symbolic Logic **70** (2005), no. 2, 557–572. [MR2140046 \(2006b:03060\)](#)
6. A. Caicedo, S. Friedman. *Projective well-orderings of the reals: A survey*, in preparation.
7. M. Foreman, M. Magidor, and S. Shelah, *Martin's maximum, saturated ideals, and nonregular*

- ultrafilters. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 1, 1–47. [MR0924672 \(89f:03043\)](#)
8. M. Goldstern and S. Shelah, *The bounded proper forcing axiom*, J. Symbolic Logic **60** (1995), no. 1, 58–73. [MR1324501 \(96g:03083\)](#)
 9. H. Herre, M. Krynický, A. Pinus, and J. Väänänen, *The H\"artig quantifier: A survey*, J. Symbolic Logic **56** (1991), no. 4, 1153–1183. [MR1136448 \(93c:03051\)](#)
 10. T. Jech, *Set theory, the third millenium edition*, Springer (2003). [MR1940513 \(2004g:03071\)](#)
 11. B. König and Y. Yoshinobu, *Fragments of Martin's Maximum in generic extensions*, MLQ Math. Log. Q. **50** (2004), no. 3, 297–302. [MR2050172 \(2005b:03117\)](#)
 12. P. Larson, *The nonstationary ideal in the \mathcal{P}_{max} extension*, preprint. cf. [MR 2008d:03049](#)
 13. D. Martin and R. Solovay, *Internal Cohen extensions*, Ann. Math. Logic **2** (1970), no. 2, 143–178. [MR0270904 \(42 #5787\)](#)
 14. J. Moore, *Set mapping reflection*, J. Math. Log. **5** (2005), no. 1, 87–98. [MR2151584 \(2006c:03076\)](#)
 15. S. Shelah, *Proper and improper forcing*, Springer (1998). [MR1623206 \(98m:03002\)](#)
 16. R. Solovay, *The independence of DC from AD*, in Cabal Seminar 76–77 (Proceedings of the UCLA-Caltech logic seminar, 1976–77), A. Kechris, Y. Moschovakis, eds., Springer (1978) 171–183. [MR0526918 \(80e:03065\)](#)
 17. R. Solovay and S. Tennenbaum, *Iterated Cohen extensions and Souslin's problem*, Ann. Math. **94** (1971), no. 2, 201–245. [MR0294139 \(45 #3212\)](#)
 18. J. Steel, *PFA implies $AD^{L(\mathbb{R})}$* , preprint. cf. [MR 2008b:03069](#)
 19. S. Todorćević, *A note on the proper forcing axiom*, in Axiomatic set theory (Boulder, Colorado, 1983), J. Baumgartner, D. Martin, eds., Amer. Math. Soc. (1984), 209–218. [MR0763902 \(86f:03089\)](#)
 20. S. Todorćević, *Generic absoluteness and the continuum*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002) 1–7. [MR1928866 \(2003f:03067\)](#)
 21. B. Veličković, *Forcing axioms and stationary sets*, Adv. Math. **94** (1992), no. 2, 256–284. [MR1174395 \(93k:03045\)](#)
 22. H. Woodin, *The axiom of determinacy, forcing axioms, and the nonstationary ideal*, Walter de Gruyter (1999). [MR1713438 \(2001e:03001\)](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.