

**MR2640069 (Review)** 03E35 (03E45 03E55)**Dobrinen, Natasha** (1-DNV-DM); **Friedman, Sy-David** (A-WIEN-IL)**The consistency strength of the tree property at the double successor of a measurable cardinal.** (English summary)*Fund. Math.* **208** (2010), no. 2, 123–153.

If  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal, a  $\kappa$ -tree is a tree of height  $\kappa$  all of whose levels have size strictly smaller than  $\kappa$ . The *tree property* holds at  $\kappa$  iff any  $\kappa$ -tree has a branch of length  $\kappa$ . A counterexample to the tree property is a  $\kappa$ -Aronszajn tree.

The question of which cardinals have the tree property is an active topic of research in set theory. See for example [M. D. Foreman, M. Magidor and R.-D. Schindler, *J. Symbolic Logic* **66** (2001), no. 4, 1837–1847; [MR1877026 \(2003m:03083\)](#)], or [D. Sinapova, “The tree property and the failure of the singular cardinal hypothesis at  $\aleph_{\omega^2}$ ”, preprint, available at [www.math.uci.edu/~dsinapov](http://www.math.uci.edu/~dsinapov)].

The paper under review studies the consistency strength of the tree property at the double successor of a measurable cardinal, and the main result is that it is precisely the consistency of a *weakly compact hypermeasurable* cardinal, where  $\kappa$  is such a cardinal iff there is a weakly compact cardinal  $\lambda > \kappa$  and an elementary embedding  $j: V \rightarrow M$  of the universe into a transitive class with  $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$  and  $H(\lambda)^M = H(\lambda)$ .

The paper includes a nice and detailed presentation of (generalized) Sacks forcing and its iterations, including a proof of a theorem of A. Kanamori [see *Ann. Math. Logic* **19** (1980), no. 1-2, 97–114; [MR0593029 \(82i:03061\)](#)] showing that if  $\rho$  is strongly inaccessible and  $\lambda$  is weakly compact then, after forcing with  $\mathbb{S}$ , we have  $\lambda = \rho^{++} = 2^\rho$  and  $\rho^{++}$  has the tree property, where  $\mathbb{S} = \text{Sacks}(\rho, \lambda)$  is the  $\lambda$ -length iteration using supports of size at most  $\rho$  of the version of Sacks forcing that adds a subset of  $\rho$ .

The main theorem is established in two stages. First, if GCH holds and  $\kappa$  is weakly compact hypermeasurable, a poset is described that preserves the measurability of  $\kappa$  and forces the tree property at  $\kappa^{++}$ . The poset is an Easton support iteration of a lottery of iterated Sacks forcing posets as above [see J. D. Hamkins, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **101** (2000), no. 2-3, 103–146; [MR1736060 \(2001i:03108\)](#)].

Second, building on results of M. Gitik [see *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **63** (1993), no. 3, 227–240; [MR1237232 \(94m:03086\)](#)], it is shown that if  $\kappa$  is measurable and the tree property holds at  $\kappa^{++}$ , then either  $0^\#$  exists, or else in the core model  $K$ , the Mitchell ordering of  $\kappa$  is at least  $\lambda + 1$ , where  $\lambda > \kappa$  is weakly compact in  $K$ . In either case, it immediately follows that there is an inner model where  $\kappa$  is weakly compact hypermeasurable.

Reviewed by [Andrés Eduardo Caicedo](#)

## References

1. U. Abraham, *Aronszajn trees on  $\aleph_2$  and  $\aleph_3$* , *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 24 (1983), 213–230.

[MR0717829](#) (85d:03100)

2. J. Baumgartner and R. Laver, *Iterated perfect set forcing*, Ann. Math. Logic 17 (1979), 271–288. [MR0556894](#) (81a:03050)
3. J. Cummings and M. Foreman, *The tree property*, Adv. Math. 133 (1998), 1–32. [MR1492784](#) (99j:03034)
4. N. Dobrinen and S.-D. Friedman, *Internal consistency and global co-stationarity of the ground model*, J. Symbolic Logic 73 (2008), 512–521. [MR2414462](#) (2009k:03084)
5. M. Foreman, M. Magidor, and R.-D. Schindler, *The consistency strength of successive cardinals with the tree property*, ibid. 66 (2001), 1837–1847. [MR1877026](#) (2003m:03083)
6. S.-D. Friedman, *Fine Structure and Class Forcing*, de Gruyter, 2000. [MR1780138](#) (2001g:03001)
7. S.-D. Friedman and R. Honzík, *Easton’s theorem and large cardinals*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 154 (2008), 191–208. [MR2428070](#) (2009f:03065)
8. S.-D. Friedman and P. Ondrejovic, The internal consistency of Easton’s theorem, ibid. 156 (2008), 25&–269. [MR2484483](#)
9. S.-D. Friedman and K. Thompson, *Internal consistency for embedding complexity*, J. Symbolic Logic 73 (2008), 831–844. [MR2444271](#) (2010e:03062)
10. S.-D. Friedman and K. Thompson, *Perfect trees and elementary embeddings*, ibid. 73 (2008), 906–918. [MR2444275](#) (2010b:03061)
11. M. Gitik, *On measurable cardinals violating the continuum hypothesis*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 63 (1993), 227–240. [MR1237232](#) (94m:03086)
12. L. Harrington and S. Shelah, *Some exact equiconsistency results in set theory*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 26 (1985), 178–188. [MR0783595](#) (86g:03079)
13. T. Jech, *Set Theory. The Third Millennium Edition, Revised and Expanded*, Springer, 2003. [MR1940513](#) (2004g:03071)
14. R. B. Jensen, *The fine structure of the constructible hierarchy*, Ann. Math. Logic 4 (1972), 229–308. [MR0309729](#) (46 #8834)
15. A. Kanamori, *Perfect-set forcing for uncountable cardinals*, ibid. 19 (1980), 97–114. [MR0593029](#) (82i:03061)
16. A. Kanamori, *The Higher Infinite*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2003. [MR1994835](#) (2004f:03092)
17. D. König, *Über eine Schlussweise aus dem Endlichen ins Unendliche: Punktmengen. Kartenfärbungen. Verwandtschaftsbeziehungen. Schachspiel*, Acta Sci. Math. 3 (1927), 121–130.
18. D. Kurepa, *Ensembles ordonnés et ramifiés*, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade 4 (1935), 1–138.
19. R. Laver, *Making the supercompactness of  $\kappa$  indestructible under  $\kappa$ -directed closed forcing*, Israel J. Math. 29 (1978), 385–388. [MR0472529](#) (57 #12226)
20. M. Magidor and S. Shelah, *The tree property at successors of singular cardinals*, Arch. Math. Logic 35 (1996), 385–404. [MR1420265](#) (97j:03093)
21. W. Mitchell, *Aronszajn trees and the independence of the transfer property*, Ann. Math. Logic 5 (1972/73), 21–46. [MR0313057](#) (47 #1612)
22. T. Miyamoto, unpublished notes.
23. G. E. Sacks, *Forcing with perfect closed sets*, in: Axiomatic Set Theory (Los Angeles, CA, 1967), Part I, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 13, Amer. Math. Soc, 1971, 331–355. [MR0276079](#)

(43 #1827)

24. R. Schindler, *Weak covering and the tree property*, Arch. Math. Logic 38 (1999), 515–520. [MR1725422](#) (2001b:03052)
25. J. Silver, *Some applications of model theory in set theory*, Ann. Math. Logic 3 (1971), 45–110. [MR0409188](#) (53 #12950)
26. E. Specker, *Sur un problème de Sikorski*, Colloq. Math. 2 (1949), 9–12. [MR0039779](#) (12,597b)
27. S. Todorčević, *Aronszajn trees and partitions*, Israel J. Math. 52 (1985), 53–58. [MR0815601](#) (87d:03127)

*Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.*

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2011